

ASSOCIATION OF COMMUNICATION ENGINEERS

ace-engineers.com

Mark Massman, President

RVW, Inc. P.O. Box 495 Columbus, NE 68602-0495 Tel. 402-564-2876 FAX 402-563-3655 mmassman@rvwinc.com

Bart Bretsch, VP

Yates Engineering Services, LLC 2536 W. Industrial Park Dr., Suite 1 Bloomington, IN 47404 Tel. 812-333-7335 FAX 812-333-7336 bbretsch@yesrus.com

Carol Brackett, Secretary/ Treasurer

Ladd Engineering Associates, Inc. 1509 Gault Ave. South (35967) P.O. Box 680747 Fort Payne, AL 35968 Tel. 256-845-0601 FAX 256-845-2071 cbrackett@laddengineering.com

Member Firms

ACRS 2000 Corp. Inc.
CHR Solutions, Inc.
Communication Network
Engineering, Inc.
Compass Consultants, Inc.

Engineering Associates, Inc.
EPI Communications Consultants
Finley Engineering Company, Inc.

Geotech, Inc.
Gillespie, Prudhon
and Associates, Inc.
Heberly and Associates
HunTel Engineering
Joseph D. Fail Engineering

KI I

Ladd Engineering Associates, Inc.

Company, Inc.

McCall-Thomas Engineering Company, Inc.

Mid-State Consultants, Inc. Mitchell Engineering

Monte R. Lee & Company

N-Com Inc.

Palmetto Engineering and Consulting, LLC

RVW, Inc.

Specialty Telecommunications

Services, LLC

Vantage Point Solutions, Inc. Yates Engineering Services, LLC

Ex Parte

Marlene Dortch Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Re: Connect America Fund: Performance Measures for Connect America High-Cost Universal Service Support Recipients Docket No. 10-90; DA 17-1085

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Representing licensed Professional Engineers working in the telecommunications sector throughout the country, The Association of Communications Engineers (ACE) has monitored this proceeding and takes this opportunity to concur with the Ex Parte comments filed June 18, 2018 by NTCA – The Rural Broadband Association.

The NTCA letter and included attachment correctly discuss the physical and technical limitations of a 1 Gbps circuit supplied via Fiber-To-The-Premises. Specifically, we concur that the tested throughput for these circuits (provisioned circuit size less overheads) will be dependent on the frame size being transmitted with the test result being a best-case scenario of 960Mbps of throughput. The use of smaller frames would result in lower tested throughput as depicted in Figure 2-1 of the referenced NTCA filing. We further concur that technology currently in use does not allow the traditional over-provisioning solution to solve this issue.

Thank-you for the opportunity to provide these comments. A copy of this letter is being filed with ECFS pursuant to FCC rules.

Sincerely,

The Association of Communication Engineers

/s/ Mark D. Massman Mark D. Massman, P.E.

President